

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

Docket No. 217-2003-EQ-00106

**In the Matter of the Liquidation of
The Home Insurance Company**

**LIQUIDATOR'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT WITH NOKIA OF AMERICA CORPORATION**

David J. Bettencourt, Acting Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, as Liquidator ("Liquidator") of The Home Insurance Company ("Home"), moves that the Court enter an order in the form submitted herewith approving a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Settlement Agreement") between Nokia of America Corporation (formerly known as Lucent Technologies, Inc.), a successor-in-interest to rights under insurance policies issued by Home to American Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Claimant"), and the Liquidator. As reasons therefor, the Liquidator states as follows:

1. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated under the supervision of the Special Deputy Liquidator. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, Special Deputy Liquidator, in Support of Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with Nokia of America Corporation ("Bengelsdorf Aff.") ¶ 2.

2. Home issued thirty insurance policies to American Telephone and Telegraph Company, now known as AT&T Corp. ("AT&T") for various periods under which Claimant has rights which, together with all other insurance policies Home may have issued to AT&T or Claimant, are referred to collectively as the "Policies". Settlement Agreement, first Whereas clause. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 3.

3. Claimant has submitted proofs of claim in the Home liquidation seeking coverage for asbestos premises, general liability and employer liability bodily injury claims that have been assigned proof of claim number INSU703729 (“Asbestos Proof of Claim”), and a proof of claim seeking coverage for an environmental claim that has been assigned proof of claim number INSU715420 (“Environmental Proof of Claim”), which together with any other proofs of claim hereinbefore or hereinafter filed by Claimant in the Home liquidation are defined collectively as the “Proofs of Claim”.¹ Settlement Agreement, third Whereas clause. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 4.

4. The Liquidator and the Claimant have negotiated the Settlement Agreement to resolve all matters concerning the Proofs of Claim and all rights and obligations with respect to the Policies. Settlement Agreement, fourth Whereas clause. The Settlement Agreement is subject to approval by the Court. Settlement Agreement, fifth Whereas clause, ¶ 1. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 5.

5. The Settlement Agreement provides that the Liquidator will recommend (a) allowance of the Asbestos Proof of Claim in the amount of \$15,000,000 (the “Asbestos Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under RSA 402-C:44, and (b) allowance of the Environmental Proof of Claim in the amount of \$2,000,000 (the “Environmental Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under RSA 402-C:44. The Asbestos Recommended Amount and the Environmental Recommended Amount together are the “Recommended Amount”. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(A). Allowance of the \$17,000,000 Recommended Amount as a Class II claim will fully and finally resolve the Proofs of Claim and

¹ AT&T filed a proof of claim concerning the policies which was resolved by a settlement agreement dated December 1, 2022 and approved by the Court on January 9, 2023. (An additional 33 proofs of claim for AT&T were administratively closed via a Notice of Determination approved by the Court on November 14, 2016.) Certain environmental claims filed by Lucent Technologies Inc. by proofs of claim numbers INSU701948, INSU70949 and INSU701951 through INSU701978 were resolved by a settlement agreement dated July 5, 2006 which was approved by the Court on August 23, 2006.

all claims Claimant has under the Policies. *Id.* ¶ 2(B). Distributions based on that allowance will be made at the same intervals and at the same percentages as distributions to other Class II creditors of Home. *Id.* ¶ 2(C). The Recommended Amount reflects only known claims identified before the Claim Amendment Deadline. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 6.

6. The Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve the Proofs of Claim and all claims that Claimant has under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2(B). To that end, the Settlement Agreement provides for mutual releases of all claims among the Liquidator, Home, and the Claimant arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies. *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 4. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 7.

7. In resolving all of the Claimant's claims relating to the Proofs of Claim and the Policies, the Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve all matters arising out of or relating to any rights the Claimant ever had, now has, or hereafter may have in the Policies and the Proofs of Claim, including any asserted rights of third-party claimants against the Claimant under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. The Claimant agrees to address, at its sole cost, any such claims of third-party claimants against the Claimant as if there had been no liquidation proceeding for Home and as if the Claimant had no insurance coverage from Home by virtue of the Policies. *Id.* The Claimant also agrees to indemnify and hold the Liquidator and Home harmless from all claims arising from or relating to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies, except insurer contribution claims but including asserted rights of third party claimants, up to the total amount ultimately distributed in relation to the Recommended Amount. *Id.* Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 8.

8. The Liquidator is not aware of any third party claimants presently asserting claims under the Policies.² Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 10. However, the denial of any third party claimants' claims without prejudice to their claims against Claimant will not harm the third party claimants, who will continue to have their claims against Claimant. As noted above, Claimant has agreed to address such claims as if they had no insurance coverage from Home under the Policies. Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Third party claimants' proofs of claim against the insolvent Home, if not denied with the agreement, would release the Claimant from those claims up to the limits of the Policies but only entitle the third party claimants (assuming their claims were allowed) to the initial interim distributions and any later distribution at a presently undetermined distribution percentage from Home at the future date when distribution is made. *See* RSA 402-C:40, I; *Gonya v. Commissioner, New Hampshire Insurance Dept.*, 153 N.H. 521, 535 (2006) (noting the "inherent uncertainty of any creditor's recovery in a liquidation"). It is not expected that the allowed claims of any third party claimants (or other Class II creditors) of Home will be paid in full. Under the Settlement Agreement, Claimant is responsible for any third party claimants' claims against it. *See* Settlement Agreement ¶ 5. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 9.

9. The Liquidator is not aware of any proofs of claim asserting a claim subject to the same policy limit in the Home policy as the Asbestos Proof of Claim or the Environmental Proof of Claim.³ However, if a claim of another claimant is subject to the same limit of liability as claims resolved by the Settlement Agreement, and if the total allowed amounts for all claimants exceed the limit, then the allowed amounts for all claimants will be subject to adjustment under

² Six third party proofs of claim concerning AT&T were disallowed as abandoned by notices of determination approved by the Court on April 21, 2010.

³ The settled AT&T proof of claim and the settled Lucent proofs of claim asserted coverage under the Policies for certain exposures; however, the claims are separate occurrences and are not subject to the same limits.

RSA 402-C:40, IV, so that the policy limit will not be exceeded. *See* Settlement Agreement ¶ 6. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 10.

10. The Settlement Agreement reflects a compromise of the claims asserted in the Proofs of Claim. It is the result of negotiations involving the Claims Department, under the supervision of the Special Deputy Liquidator, which has extensive experience in assessing the exposure presented by claims under Home’s insurance policies. The agreed settlement amount is based on careful evaluation and negotiation of coverage obligations under the Policies respecting the underlying liabilities of the Claimant. The Liquidator accordingly recommends approval of the Settlement Agreement and allowance of the \$17,000,000 settlement amount as a Class II claim of the Claimant in accordance with RSA 402-C:45 and RSA 402-C:44. Bengelsdorf Aff. ¶ 11.

11. The Court has previously approved many similar settlement agreements. *See, e.g.,* Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Ash Grove Cement Company (August 1, 2023); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Black & Decker (January 20, 2022); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Colgate-Palmolive Company (June 23, 2020); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Navistar, Inc. (December 11, 2018); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Graham Corporation (July 8, 2016); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Washington Gas (July 15, 2013); Order Approving Settlement Agreement with Wisconsin Energy (March 18, 2010); Order Approving Commutation Agreement with Northwestern National Insurance Company and Settlement Agreement and Assignment of Distribution with AK Steel Corporation (March 10, 2006). The Liquidator’s negotiation and the Court’s approval of such agreements are authorized by the broad authority of the Liquidator to “compound, compromise or in any other manner negotiate the amount for which claims will be

recommended to the court,” RSA 402-C:45, I, and the authority of the Court to “approve, disapprove or modify any report on claims by the liquidator.” RSA 402-C:45, II. It is also an appropriate exercise of the Liquidator’s authority (“[s]ubject to the court’s control”) to “do such other acts . . . as are necessary or expedient for the accomplishment of or in aid of the purpose of liquidation.” RSA 402-C:25, XXII.

12. In his Motion for Approval of Commutation with Northwestern National Insurance Company and Settlement Agreement and Assignment of Distribution with AK Steel Corporation ¶¶ 19-23 (February 16, 2006), the Liquidator provided his analysis of New Hampshire law, including RSA 402-C:40, III, as it applies to this type of comprehensive policy coverage compromise and settlement in an insurer liquidation context. That analysis also applies to the proposed Settlement Agreement with the Claimant.

13. The Liquidator submits that the Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the policyholders and creditors of Home. *See Bengelsdorf Aff.* ¶ 12.

WHEREFORE, the Liquidator respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant this Motion;
- B. Enter an Order in the form submitted herewith approving the Settlement Agreement, approving the Liquidator’s claim recommendation, and allowing the Claimant’s claim as a Class II claim in accordance with RSA 402-C:45 and RSA 402-C:44 in the amount of \$17,000,000; and
- C. Grant such other and further relief as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID J. BETTENCOURT, ACTING
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER OF THE
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, SOLELY
AS LIQUIDATOR OF THE HOME
INSURANCE COMPANY,

By his attorneys,

JOHN M. FORMELLA
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Christopher G. Bond
Christopher.G.Bond@doj.nh.gov
NH Bar ID No. 20161
Civil Bureau
New Hampshire Department of Justice
33 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301-6397
(603) 271-3650

/s/ Eric A. Smith
J. David Leslie
dleslie@verrill-law.com
NH Bar ID No. 16859
Eric A. Smith
easmith@verrill-law.com
NH Bar ID No. 16952
Verrill Dana LLP
One Federal Street, 20th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 309-2600

September 1, 2023

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Liquidator's Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with Nokia of America Corporation, the Affidavit of Peter A. Bengelsdorf, and the Proposed Order, were sent this 1st day of September, 2023, by first class mail, postage prepaid to all persons on the attached service list.

/s/ Eric A. Smith
Eric A. Smith
NH Bar ID No. 16952

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

**In the Matter of the Liquidation of
The Home Insurance Company
Docket No. 217-2003-EQ-00106**

Lisa Snow Wade, Esq.
Orr & Reno
One Eagle Square
P.O. Box 3550
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-3550

David M. Spector, Esq.
Dennis G. LaGory, Esq.
Schiff Hardin LLP
6600 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gary S. Lee, Esq.
James J. DeCristofaro, Esq.
Kathleen E. Schaaf, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019-9601

Martin P. Honigberg, Esq.
Suloway & Hollis, P.L.L.C.
9 Capitol Street
P.O. Box 1256
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1256

Joseph G. Davis, Esq.
Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP
1875 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

Richard Mancino, Esq.
Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019

Thomas W. Ladd, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102

Albert P. Bedecarre, Esq.
Quinn Emanuel Urguhart Oliver & Hedges LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111

Harry Cohen, Esq.
Crowell & Moring
590 Madison Avenue
20th Floor
New York, New York 10022-2544

Robert M. Horkovich, Esq.
Robert Y. Chung, Esq.
Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C.
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020

Stephanie V. Corrao, Esq.
Crowell & Moring
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-2595

Jeffrey W. Moss, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP
One Federal Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Joseph C. Tanski, Esq.
John S. Stadler, Esq.
Nixon Peabody LLP
100 Summer Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Kyle A. Forsyth, Esq.
Commercial Litigation Branch/Civil Division
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 875
Washington, D.C. 20044-0875

Gregory T. LoCasale, Esq.
White and Williams, LLP
One Liberty Place, Suite 1800
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7395

Michael J. Tierney, Esq.
Wadleigh, Starr & Peters, PLLC
95 Market Street
Manchester, New Hampshire 03101

John A. Hubbard
615 7th Avenue South
Great Falls, Montana 59405

Harry L. Bowles
306 Big Hollow Lane
Houston, Texas 77042

Mark J. Andreini, Esq.
Jones Day
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190

Michael S. Lewis, Esq.
Rath Young Pignatelli
One Capital Plaza
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1500

Paul A. Zevnik, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Keith Dotseth, Esq.
Larson King
2800 Wells Fargo Place
30 East Seventh Street, Suite 2800
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101

Tred R. Eyerly, Esq.
Damon Key Leon Kupchak Hastert
1003 Bishop Street, Suite 1600
Honolulu, HI 96813

Michel Y. Horton, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071

David H. Simmons, Esq.
Mary Ann Etzler, Esq.
Daniel J. O'Malley, Esq.
deBeaubien, Knight, Simmons,
Mantzaris & Neal, LLP
332 North Magnolia Drive
P.O. Box 87
Orlando, FL 32801

Joseph C. Safar
Jessica L.G. Moran
K&L Gates LLP
210 Sixth Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Doreen F. Connor, Esq.
Primmer Piper Eggleston & PC
P.O. Box 3600
Manchester, NH 03105

Mr. Edward Crosby
P.O. Box 155
Crowell, TX 79227

Ms. Patricia Erway
16604 Rialto Dr.
Winter Garden, FL 34787

Mark C. Rouvalis, Esq. Steven J. Dutton,
Esq. Viggo C. Fish, Esq. McLane
Middleton, P.A. 900 Elm Street, 10th
Floor Manchester, NH 03101

Mark R. Goodman, Esq.
Joseph T. McCullough IV, Esq.
Peter B. Steffen, Esq.
Freeborn & Peters LLP
311 South Wacker Dr., Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606

Derek D. Lick, Esq.
Sulloway & Hollis, P.L.L.C.
9 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301

Christopher J. Valente, Esq.
K&L Gates LLP
State Street Financial Center
One Lincoln Street
Boston, MA 02111

Mr. Howard Campbell
10011 Mosher Hollow Road
Cattaraugus, NY 14719

Linda Faye Peeples
P.O. Box 974
Fresno, TX 77545

Roland Jackson
Chief Executive Officer, Director
Catalina Services, UK Limited
1 Alie Street, 1st Floor
London, England E1 8DE

David Himelfarb, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
265 Franklin Street, 14th Fl.
Boston, MA 02110

EXHIBIT A

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (“Settlement Agreement”) is made as of this th 10 day of August 2023, by and between Nokia of America Corporation (formerly known as Lucent Technologies, Inc.), a successor-in-interest to rights under insurance policies issued by The Home Insurance Company (“Home”) to American Telephone and Telegraph Company (“Claimant”), on the one hand, and David J. Bettencourt, Acting Insurance Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire, solely in his capacity as Liquidator (“Liquidator”) of Home, on the other hand (the Claimant and the Liquidator are hereinafter referred to collectively as the “Parties”).

WHEREAS, Home issued the following insurance policies to American Telephone and Telegraph Company, now known as AT&T Corp. (“AT&T”) under which Claimant is insured:

HEC9555788	HEC9792993
HEC4763665	HEC9347165
HEC9328637	HEC9328638
HEC9655400	HEC9693668
HEC9693669	HEC9694242
HEC9694243	HEC9694246
HEC9694247	HEC9694248
HEC9826319	HEC9826320
HEC9543881	HEC9826321
HEC9826322	HEC9826323
HEC9903055	HEC9903056
HEC9903057	HEC9903058
HEC9903059	HEC9903060
HEC1198760	HEC1198761
HEC1199862	HEC1199863

which together with all other insurance policies Home may have issued to AT&T or to Claimant and under which Claimant has rights are defined collectively as the “Policies”;

WHEREAS, Home is being liquidated pursuant to the June 13, 2003 Order of the Superior Court of the State of New Hampshire, Merrimack County (the “Liquidation Court”), pursuant to which the Liquidator was appointed as the Liquidator of Home;

WHEREAS, Claimant has submitted proofs of claim in the Home liquidation seeking coverage for asbestos premises, general liability and employer liability bodily injury claims that has been assigned proof of claim number INSU703729 (“Asbestos Proof of Claim”), and a proof of claim seeking coverage for an environmental claim that has been assigned proof of claim number INSU715420 (“Environmental Proof of Claim”), which together with any other proofs of claim hereinbefore or hereinafter filed by Claimant in the Home liquidation are defined collectively as the “Proofs of Claim”¹;

WHEREAS, the Parties are desirous of resolving all claims that were asserted, or could have been or could be asserted, and resolving all matters concerning the Proofs of Claim and all rights and obligations with respect to the Policies;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement is subject to and conditioned upon its approval by the Liquidation Court and allowance of the Recommended Amount (as defined below) into the Home liquidation and in the event that the Liquidation Court does not approve the Settlement Agreement and allow the Recommended Amount, this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and without any force or effect;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of all the respective transactions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement, and the mutual covenants and representations herein contained, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

¹ AT&T filed a proof of claim concerning the policies which was resolved by a settlement agreement dated December 1, 2022 and approved by the Court on January 9, 2023. (An additional 33 proofs of claim for AT&T were administratively closed via a Notice of Determination approved by the Court on November 14, 2016.) Certain environmental claims filed by Lucent Technologies Inc. by proofs of claim numbers INSU701948, INSU70949 and INSU701951 through INSU701978 were resolved by a settlement agreement dated July 5, 2006 which was approved by the Court on August 23, 2006.

1. Effectiveness. This Settlement Agreement is conditioned and shall only become effective (the “Effective Date”) upon approval by the Liquidation Court. The Liquidator shall move for approval of this Settlement Agreement promptly following execution by all Parties.

2. Recommendation, Allowance, and Classification of Claims.

- A. Subject to all the terms of this Settlement Agreement, and with the agreement of Claimant, which by Claimant's execution hereof is hereby granted, the Liquidator shall recommend pursuant to N.H. RSA 402-C:45 that (i) the Asbestos Proof of Claim be allowed in the amount of \$15,000,000 (the “Asbestos Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under N.H. RSA 402-C:44 and (ii) the Environmental Proof of Claim be allowed in the amount of \$2,000,000 (the “Environmental Recommended Amount”) as a Class II priority claim under N.H. RSA 402-C:44. The Asbestos Recommended Amount and the Environmental Recommended Amount together are the “Recommended Amount”. The Liquidator shall seek allowance of the \$17,000,000 Recommended Amount as a Class II priority claim by the Liquidation Court in the Liquidator's motion for approval of this Settlement Agreement.
- B. Allowance of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim by the Liquidation Court shall fully and finally resolve the Proof of Claim and any and all claims of whatever nature that Claimant has under the Policies. In the event that the Liquidation Court does not allow the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and shall have no force and effect and the Parties will be returned to *status quo ante*, as if no such

agreement was ever reached, with this Settlement Agreement thereafter being inadmissible for any purpose in any dispute between the Parties.

- C. If and when the Liquidation Court allows the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, Claimant will become a Class II creditor in the Home liquidation pursuant to N.H. RSA 402-C:44, and Claimant shall, subject to this Settlement Agreement, receive distributions on the allowed amount at the same intervals and at the same percentages as other Class II creditors of Home. All distributions to Claimant shall be made to:

Nokia of America Corporation
3201 Olympus Blvd.
Dallas, TX 75019
Attn: Karen Pierce

3. Release by Claimant. Subject to the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Liquidation Court's approval of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, Claimant for itself and on behalf of its current officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and their successors and assigns, irrevocably and unconditionally releases and discharges the Liquidator and Home and each of their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns (including any trustee or other statutory successor), from any and all actions, causes of action, liabilities, adjustments, obligations, offsets, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, reckonings, bonds, bills, premiums, losses, salvage, specialties, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, variances, trespasses, damages, judgments, extents, executions, claims, and/or demands, arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies, in law, admiralty, or equity, which Claimant, or its subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, ever had, now has, or hereafter may have against the Liquidator or Home

or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, all whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent, in law, admiralty or equity, arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies.

4. Release by Liquidator. Subject to the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Liquidation Court's approval of the Recommended Amount as a Class II claim, the Liquidator, in his capacity as such, and on behalf of Home and each of their respective current officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and their successors and assigns, irrevocably and unconditionally releases and discharges Claimant and each of its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, from any and all actions, causes of action, liabilities, adjustments, obligations, offsets, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, reckonings, bonds, bills, premiums, losses, salvage, specialties, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, variances, trespasses, damages, judgments, extents, executions, claims, and/or demands, arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies, in law, admiralty, or equity, which the Liquidator, Home, or their subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, ever had, now have, or hereafter may have against Claimant or its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, all whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent, in law, admiralty or equity, arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies.

5. Resolution of Matters and Indemnification. Claimant acknowledges that this Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve all matters arising out of or relating to any rights Claimant ever had, now has or hereafter may have in the Policies and the Proofs of Claim, including any asserted rights of third-party claimants against Claimant under the Policies, and

Claimant agrees to address, at its sole cost and expense, any such claims of third-party claimants against Claimant as if there had been no liquidation proceeding for Home and as if Claimant had no insurance coverage from Home by virtue of the Policies. In consideration of the Recommended Amount being allowed by the Liquidation Court as a Class II claim, Claimant agrees to indemnify and hold the Liquidator and Home harmless from and against any and all claims, losses, liabilities, debts, damages, costs or expenses arising from or related to the Proofs of Claim or the Policies, except insurer contribution claims, and such indemnification shall be capped at the total amount ultimately distributed in relation to the Recommended Amount as allowed by the Liquidation Court. The future obligations of Claimant under this paragraph shall extend to and include (by way of example and not limitation) any claims for defense or indemnity for claims made under the Policies against the Liquidator or Home by vendors, or by other insurers of Claimant, or by any individuals or entities asserting "direct action" claims arising out of or related to the Policies. The Liquidator shall promptly notify Claimant of any such claim, and shall afford Claimant the opportunity to reasonably participate in the defense of such claims. The Liquidator shall assert all defenses to such claims reasonably available to the Liquidator, including defenses under the Order of Liquidation or the New Hampshire Insurers Rehabilitation and Liquidation Act. Claimant shall cooperate with the Liquidator (including but not limited to the provision of affidavits or testimony) to defend against and resolve such claims.

6. Multiple Claims. The Home policies against which this claim is made contains certain limits. New Hampshire RSA 402-C:40 (IV) provides that in the event multiple claims against such policies are filed, and the aggregate allowed amount of all claims to which the same limit of liability in the policy is applicable exceeds that limit, then each claim as allowed

shall be reduced in the same proportion so that the total equals the policy limit. The Liquidator is presently unaware of any proof of claim filed in the Home estate asserting a claim subject to the same limit in the Home policy as the Asbestos Proof of Claim or the Environmental Proof of Claim.² However, if an allowance is made such that the aggregate allowed amount of all claims subject to the same limit exceeds the limit, each claim will be prorated so that the total equals the policy limit as required by RSA 402-C:40 (IV). The Liquidator will be unable to determine whether and to what extent Claimant's allowed amount may be reduced until all proofs of claim against the Policies have been determined. If the aggregate allowed amount of claims exceeds the applicable limit such that Claimant's claim is subject to proration, the Liquidator will inform Claimant accordingly.

7. Mutual Release of Settling Carriers. Claimant agrees to use reasonable commercial efforts to cause any settlement agreement relating to the underlying matters covered by the Proofs of Claim with any other insurance company to include a waiver by that other insurance company of any claim, including contribution, apportionment, indemnification, subrogation, equitable subrogation, allocation, or recoupment, against Home regarding the underlying matters covered by the Proofs of Claim. The Liquidator agrees to waive, relinquish and release any claim, including contribution, apportionment, indemnification, subrogation, equitable subrogation, allocation, or recoupment, as to the underlying matters covered by the Proofs of Claim against any other insurance company which executes a settlement with Claimant that includes a provision that is materially the same as this paragraph.

8. No Assignments. Claimant warrants and represents that it has not assigned, conveyed, or otherwise transferred any claims, demands, causes of action, rights, or obligations related in any way to the Policies, or any proceeds thereof, or the Proofs of Claim,

² The settled AT&T proof of claim and the settled Lucent proofs of claim asserted coverage under the Policies for certain exposures; however, the claims are separate occurrences and are not subject to the same limits.

or the claims, losses and expenses released herein, to any person or entity. Claimant shall not assign or otherwise transfer this Settlement Agreement or any rights or obligations thereunder without the written consent of the Liquidator, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

9. Further Assurances. The Parties shall take all further actions as may be necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Settlement Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated herein. Claimant acknowledges that it is aware of the requirements of the Medicare Secondary Payer Act and the Medicare, Medicaid and SCRIPE Extension Act of 2007, including provisions concerning Medicare set-asides and/or notification to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") regarding certain Medicare-eligible, or potentially eligible, claimants who enter into settlement agreements that may justify recovery for Medicare covered case-related services. Claimant acknowledges that it may be obligated, and otherwise agrees, to provide data, if and when required or requested, for CMS regarding claimants who will share in distributions from Claimant's assets that include a portion of the Recommended Amount.

10. Governing Law and Venue. This Settlement Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New Hampshire without regard to the conflicts of law provisions thereof. The Parties agree that the exclusive venue for any dispute between the Parties arising out of the Proofs of Claim, the Policies or this Settlement Agreement shall be the Liquidation Court.

11. Due Diligence. The Parties acknowledge and agree that, in negotiating and executing this Settlement Agreement, they have relied upon their own judgment and upon the recommendations of their own legal counsel, that they have read this Settlement Agreement

and have had the opportunity to consider its terms and effects, and that they have executed this Settlement Agreement voluntarily and with full understanding of its terms and effects. This Settlement Agreement is the product of negotiations between the Parties. No Party shall be charged with having promulgated this Settlement Agreement, and the general rule that ambiguities are to be construed against the drafter shall not apply to this Agreement.

12. No Third Party Rights. This Settlement Agreement is entered into solely for the benefit of the Liquidator, Home, and Claimant and is not intended to, and does not give or create any rights to or in any person or entity other than the Parties.

13. Counterparts. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which, when so delivered, shall be an original, but such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument. The Parties agree that a signature sent by facsimile or electronic mail to the other Party shall have the same force and effect as an original signature.

14. Power and Authority to Execute. Subject to the approval of the Liquidation Court required by paragraph 1, each Party hereto represents and warrants that it has the full power and authority to execute, deliver, and perform this Settlement Agreement; that all requisite and necessary approvals have been obtained to consummate the transactions contemplated by this Settlement Agreement; that there are no other agreements or transactions to which it is a party that would render this Settlement Agreement or any part thereof, void, voidable or unenforceable; that each individual signing on behalf of a Party has been duly authorized by that Party to execute this Settlement Agreement on its behalf; and that no claims being released under the terms of this Settlement Agreement have been assigned, sold, or otherwise transferred to any other entity.

15. Successor-in-Interest Bound. This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, liquidators, receivers, administrators, successors, and assigns.

16. Entire Agreement. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the subject matter thereof. This Settlement Agreement supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, whether written or oral, concerning such matters.

17. Survival of Warranties and Representations. The warranties and representations made herein shall survive the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

18. Validity of Settlement Agreement. Subject to approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Liquidation Court as required by paragraph 1, each Party represents and warrants that this Settlement Agreement is a legal, valid, and binding obligation, enforceable in accordance with its terms.

19. No Waiver. No waiver of any right under this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed effective unless contained in a writing signed by the Party or an authorized representative of the Party charged with such waiver, and no waiver of any breach or failure to perform shall be deemed to be a waiver of any future breach or failure to perform or of any other provision of this Settlement Agreement. This Settlement Agreement may not be amended except in a document signed by the Party or an officer or other authorized official of the Party to be charged.

20. Notice. All notices to be given under this Settlement Agreement shall be given by facsimile and first class U.S. mail directed to:

If to Claimant, to:

Mary Beth Picarella
Counsel Global Litigation and Disputes
Nokia of America Corporation
600 Mountain Avenue; Room 6E-238
Murray Hill, NJ 07974
Mary_beth.picarella@nokia.com

If to the Liquidator, to:

Angela Anglum, Esq.
Vice President Legal Affairs & Corporate Secretary
The Home Insurance Company in Liquidation
61 Broadway 6th Floor
New York, NY 10006
Angela.anglum@homeinsco.com

and

Christopher Bond, Esq.
Civil Bureau
New Hampshire Department of Justice
33 Capitol Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301-6397
Christopher.G.Bond@doj.nh.gov

and

Eric A. Smith, Esq.
Verrill Dana LLP
One Federal Street, 20th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
easmith@verrill-law.com

21. Severability. If any provision of this Settlement Agreement is invalid, unenforceable, or illegal under the law of any applicable jurisdiction, the validity and enforceability of such provision in any other jurisdiction shall not be affected thereby and the remaining provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall remain valid and enforceable. However, in the event of such invalidity, unenforceability, or illegality, the Parties shall negotiate in good

faith to amend this Settlement Agreement through the insertion of additional provisions which are valid, enforceable, and legal and which reflect, to the extent possible, the purposes contained in the invalid, unenforceable, or illegal provision.

WHEREFORE, the Parties have caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed on their respective behalves by their duly authorized representatives.

NOKIA OF AMERICA CORPORATION

By: Mary Beth Picarella

Name: Mary Beth Picarella

Title: Legal Counsel

Date: August 16, 2023, and

By: Shelly Crowley

Name: Shelly Crowley

Title: Asst. Secretary

Date: August 16, 2023

**DAVID J. BETTENCOURT, ACTING INSURANCE
COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF NEW
HAMPSHIRE, SOLELY IN HIS CAPACITY AS
LIQUIDATOR OF THE HOME INSURANCE
COMPANY**

By: Angela Anglum

Name: Angela Anglum

Title: Vice President Legal Affairs & Corporate Secretary

Date: August 10, 2023